metal-organic papers

Acta Crystallographica Section E Structure Reports Online

ISSN 1600-5368

Na Xu, Yan Ouyang, Dai-Zheng Liao* and Zong-Hui Jiang

Department of Chemistry, Nankai University, Tianjin 300071, People's Republic of China

Correspondence e-mail: coord@nankai.edu.cn

Key indicators

Single-crystal X-ray study T = 293 K Mean σ (C–C) = 0.004 Å R factor = 0.023 wR factor = 0.057 Data-to-parameter ratio = 13.3

For details of how these key indicators were automatically derived from the article, see http://journals.iucr.org/e.

Heptaaqua[tetrachlorophthalato(2–)]praseodymium(III) tetrachlorophthalate(–) tetrachlorophthalic acid monohydrate

The title complex, $[\Pr(C_8Cl_4O_4)(H_2O)_7](C_8HCl_4O_4)$ - $C_8H_2Cl_4O_4$ · H_2O , has been synthesized and structurally characterized. The praseodymium(III) ion is coordinated by an O atom of a tetrachlorophthalate ligand and seven O atoms of water molecules. The molecular packing is reinforced by an extensive network of O-H···O hydrogen bonds. Received 29 March 2005 Accepted 31 May 2005 Online 10 June 2005

Comment

Owing to their variety of structures and unusual properties, transition metal complexes of benzenedicarboxylate dianions are of great interest (Jian *et al.*, 1993; Bakalbassis *et al.*, 1998; Lewinski *et al.*, 1998; Yang *et al.*, 2002). To date, most of the published work concerns transition metal phthalate complexes. An interesting example of a lanthanide–tetra-chlorophthalate coordination polymer has been reported (Liang *et al.*, 2004). We combined praseodymium(III) and tetrachlorophthalic acid (H₂tcph), and the title complex, (I) (Fig. 1), was obtained.



Compound (I) consists of a $[Pr(tcph)(H_2O)_7]^+$ cation, a neutral (H₂tcph) molecule, an (Htcph)⁻ anion and an uncoordinated water molecule. The Pr^{III} atom is surrounded by eight O atoms, one from a tcph ligand and the others from coordinated water molecules. The Pr–O bond distances (Table 1) range from 2.424 (2) to 2.507 (2) Å.

Two adjacent $[Pr(tcph)(H_2O)_7]^+$ cations are linked by two short hydrogen bonds $[O \cdots O = 2.732 (4) \text{ Å}]$ between uncoordinated carboxylate O atoms and coordinated water

© 2005 International Union of Crystallography Printed in Great Britain – all rights reserved



Figure 1

A view of the $[Pr(tcph)(H_2O)_7]^+$ cation in (I), showing 30% probability displacement ellipsoids.



Figure 2

A fragment of (I), showing the hydrogen-bonded (dashed lines) dimer of $[\Pr(tcph)(H_2O)_7]^+$ units. The atom labels of the asymmetric unit have suffix A and those atoms generated by the symmetry operation (-x, 2 - y, 2 - z) have suffix B.



Figure 3

A packing diagram for (I). Dashed lines indicate hydrogen bonds.

molecules, as shown in Fig. 2. In addition, the packing of the molecules in (I) involves intermolecular hydrogen bonding (Table 2), also involving the other uncoordinated carboxylate O atoms and water molecules $[O \cdots O \text{ distances range from } 2.558 (3) \text{ to } 3.171 (4) \text{ Å}].$

Experimental

A solution of $Pr(ClO_4)_3$ (0.1 mmol) in H_2O (10 ml) was added to a suspension of H_2tcph (0.1 mmol) in H_2O (10 ml). The mixture was

stirred at room temperature for 30 min. After filtration, the solution was left undisturbed and green crystals of (I) were obtained after several days. Analysis calculated for $C_{24}H_{19}Cl_{12}O_{20}Pr: C 24.12, H 1.59$, Cl 35.68 O 26.80%; found: C 24.19, H 1.62%.

Crystal data

$$\begin{split} & [\Pr(C_8 \text{Cl}_4 \text{O}_4)(\text{H}_2 \text{O})_7](C_8 \text{HCl}_4 \text{O}_4) & \cdots \\ & C_8 \text{H}_2 \text{Cl}_4 \text{O}_4 & \cdot \text{H}_2 \text{O} \\ & M_r = 1193.70 \\ & \text{Triclinic, } P\overline{1} \\ & a = 6.9395 \text{ (5) } \text{\AA} \\ & b = 16.2519 \text{ (13) } \text{\AA} \\ & c = 19.1287 \text{ (15) } \text{\AA} \\ & \alpha = 67.382 \text{ (1)}^\circ \\ & \beta = 86.524 \text{ (1)}^\circ \\ & \gamma = 81.535 \text{ (1)}^\circ \\ & \gamma = 81.535 \text{ (1)}^\circ \\ & \gamma = 81096.7 \text{ (3) } \text{\AA}^3 \end{split}$$

Data collection

Bruker SMART CCD area-detector diffractometer φ and ω scans Absorption correction: multi-scan (*SADABS*; Bruker, 1998) $T_{\min} = 0.414, T_{\max} = 0.711$ 10795 measured reflections

Refinement

Refinement on F^2
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.023$
$vR(F^2) = 0.057$
S = 1.07
5883 reflections
518 parameters
H-atom parameters constrained

Z = 2 $D_x = 2.013 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$ Mo K\alpha radiation Cell parameters from 5233 reflections $\theta = 2.2-27.7^{\circ}$ $\mu = 2.13 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ T = 293 (2) KBlock, green $0.42 \times 0.28 \times 0.16 \text{ mm}$

6883 independent reflections
6066 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$
$R_{\rm int} = 0.017$
$\theta_{\rm max} = 25.0^{\circ}$
$h = -8 \rightarrow 7$
$k = -17 \rightarrow 19$
$l = -21 \rightarrow 22$

 $w = 1/[\sigma^{2}(F_{o}^{2}) + (0.0273P)^{2} + 0.4655P]$ where $P = (F_{o}^{2} + 2F_{c}^{2})/3$ $(\Delta i\sigma)_{max} = 0.002$ $\Delta \rho_{max} = 0.54 \text{ e} \text{ Å}^{-3}$ $\Delta \rho_{min} = -0.50 \text{ e} \text{ Å}^{-3}$ Extinction correction: *SHELXL97* (Sheldrick, 1997) Extinction coefficient: 0.0061 (3)

Table 1

Selected bond lengths (Å).

Pr1-O1	2.424 (2)	Pr1-O6	2.486 (2)
Pr1-O11	2.432 (2)	Pr1-O5	2.491 (2)
Pr1-O10	2.451 (2)	Pr1-O9	2.505 (2)
Pr1-O8	2.466 (3)	Pr1-O7	2.507 (2)

Table 2

Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °).

$D - H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	D-H	$H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D \cdots A$	$D - \mathbf{H} \cdot \cdot \cdot A$
$O5-H5A\cdots O3^{i}$	0.85	1.92	2.727 (4)	158
$O5-H5B\cdots O19^{ii}$	0.85	1.95	2.791 (4)	172
$O6-H6A\cdots O17^{ii}$	0.85	1.89	2.732 (4)	170
$O7-H7A\cdots O19^{ii}$	0.85	2.25	3.034 (4)	153
O7-H7B···O14 ⁱⁱⁱ	0.85	2.05	2.897 (3)	172
$O8-H8A\cdots O20^{iv}$	0.85	1.88	2.715 (4)	167
$O8-H8B\cdots O16^{iv}$	0.85	2.15	2.760 (4)	129
$O9-H9A\cdots O20^{iv}$	0.85	1.95	2.787 (4)	166
$O9-H9B\cdots O2^{v}$	0.85	2.10	2.916 (4)	161
$O10-H10A\cdots O4^{vi}$	0.85	1.90	2.736 (3)	168
O10−H10B···O3	0.85	2.03	2.858 (3)	164
$O11-H11A\cdots O2^{v}$	0.85	1.87	2.716 (4)	174
$O11 - H11B \cdot \cdot \cdot O3^{i}$	0.85	1.89	2.692 (3)	158
O13−H13···O4 ^{vii}	0.82	1.75	2.558 (3)	167
$O15-H15\cdots O16^{viii}$	0.82	1.78	2.590 (3)	168
$O18-H18\cdots O17^{ix}$	0.82	1.78	2.580 (3)	166
$O20-H20A\cdots O14^{ii}$	0.85	2.05	2.817 (4)	149
$O20-H20B\cdots O13^{viii}$	0.85	2.18	2.850 (4)	135
$O20-H20B\cdots O15^{viii}$	0.85	2.59	3.171 (4)	127

All H atoms were located in difference Fourier maps, relocated in idealized positions (O–H = 0.82–0.85 Å) and refined as riding, with $U_{\rm iso}({\rm H}) = 1.5U_{\rm eq}({\rm O})$.

Data collection: *SMART* (Bruker, 1998); cell refinement: *SAINT* (Bruker, 1998); data reduction: *SAINT*; program(s) used to solve structure: *SHELXS97* (Sheldrick, 1997); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXL97* (Sheldrick, 1997); molecular graphics: *SHELXTL* (Bruker, 1998); software used to prepare material for publication: *SHELXTL*.

This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (grant Nos. 20471031 and 20331010) and the Natural Science Key Foundation of Tianjin.

References

- Bakalbassis, E. G., Paschalidis, D. G., Raptopoulou, C. P. & Tangouis, V. (1998). *Inorg. Chem.* 37, 4735–4737.
- Bruker (1998). SMART, SAINT, SADABS and SHELXTL. Bruker AXS, Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Jiang, Z.-H., Ma, S.-L., Liao, D.-Z., Yan, S.-P., Wang, G.-L., Yao, X.-K. & Wang, R.-J. (1993). J. Chem. Soc. Chem. Commun. pp. 745–747
- Lewinski, J., Zachara, J. & Justyniak, I. (1998). Inorg. Chem. 37, 2575-2577
- Liang, M., Sun, Y.-Q., Liao, D.-Ż., Jiang, Z.-H., Yan, S.-P. & Cheng, P. (2004). J. Coord. Chem. 57, 275–280.
- Yang, S.-Y., Long, L.-S., Wu, Z.-Y., Zhan, M.-X., Huang, R.-B. & Zheng, L.-S. (2002). Transition Met. Chem. 27, 546–549.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1997). SHELXS97 and SHELXL97. University of Göttingen, Germany.